

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,017	Applicant(s) HITT ET AL.	
	Examiner Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	Art Unit 2856	

All Participants:

(1) Nashmiya S. Fayyaz.

(2) Charles Fredericks.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 rejection in view of Buss et al in view of Chuang et al

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Buss et al and Twersky et al

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

an agreement was reached to amend the claims to alleviate 35 USC 112(2) problems and more clearly define the claims over the prior art. See attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/N. S. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 2856

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)